

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,391	POWELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donald Heckenberg	1722	

All Participants:

(1) Robert West (Applicant's Rep.).

(2) Donald Heckenberg (PTO Exmr.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

2, 6, 9, and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exmr. Heckenberg proposed amendments to clarify language of claims. Mr. West agreed to changes (see Examiner's Amendment).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)